

J Cutan Aesthet Surg. 2015 Jul-Sep; 8(3): 153–158. doi: <u>10.4103/0974-2077.167272</u> PMCID: PMC4645145

The Impact of Post-Acne Scars on the Quality of Life Among Young Adults in Singapore

Sai Yee Chuah and Chee Leok Goh

Department of Dermatology, National Skin Centre, Singapore Address for correspondence: Dr. Sai Yee Chuah, National Skin Centre, 1 Mandalay Road, Singapore - 308205. E-mail: <u>sychuah@nsc.gov.sg</u>

Copyright : © Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Abstract

Background and Objectives:

Post-acne scarring is a common and well-known sequelae of acne vulgaris. We aim to study the impact of post-acne scarring on the quality of life (QOL) among young adults in Singapore.

Settings and Design:

This was a non-interventional prospective study.

Materials and Methods:

Patients aged 21-40 years with atrophic and hypertrophic acne scars who attended the National Skin Centre, Singapore were recruited in the study. They answered a simple questionnaire and the clinical severity of their acne scars were assessed by the doctor.

Statistical Analysis Used:

Descriptive analyses using absolute and percentage frequencies were performed on all data. The test of significance was two-sided and was set at 5% ($P \le 0.05$). Differential analyses were conducted using the parametric, independent two-sample t-test and non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test. The statistical assessments were performed using SPSS version 18.0.

Results:

A total of 100 patients were studied. The mean patients' subjective self-scoring on the severity of their post-acne scars was 5.78/10 and the mean Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) for post-acne scars was 5.61. Many (36%, n = 36) were self-conscious of their acne scars and 24%, (n = 24) felt that their acne scars was affecting their social activities.

Conclusions:

Our study showed that post-acne scars have a significant negative effect on the QOL of young adults. It

highlights the need to increase public awareness of acne vulgaris and its sequelae through education programs and advocating early treatment to reduce the risk of scarring.

KEYWORDS: Post-acne scars, quality of life, young adults

INTRODUCTION

Acne vulgaris is a common skin condition that starts in adolescence, with a prevalence ranging from 30% to 100%.[1,2] At the National Skin Centre in Singapore, acne vulgaris is the second most common skin disorder seen in its dermatology outpatient clinics.[3] This accounts for about 11% of the total number of new cases seen after eczema. Post-acne scarring is a common and well-known sequelae of acne vulgaris. Early diagnosis and management of acne vulgaris is essential in the prevention of post-acne scarring and the consequent adverse psychosocial disabilities resulting from feelings of embarrassment, frustration and poor self-esteem.

There have been few studies on the psychosocial impact of post-acne scarring in young adults and very few reports on the effects of post-acne scarring on the quality of life (QOL) in Asians. Emotional issues in these young individuals with post-acne scars need to be identified and appropriately addressed. We aim to study the impact of post-acne scarring on the QOL among young adults in Singapore.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This non-interventional, prospective study was performed using a standardized questionnaire to be answered by patients who attended the outpatient clinic at the National Skin Centre, a tertiary dermatology hospital in Singapore. Patients aged 21-40 years with atrophic and hypertrophic post-acne scars were enrolled in the study after being instructed and after having granted written informed consent. Those with acne marks such as red, black or brown macular marks and those who were mentally incapable of giving consent were excluded from this study. Approval from the National Healthcare Group (NHG) Domain-Specific Review Board (DSRB), Singapore, was obtained prior to the start of the study.

Study questionnaire

The questionnaire was divided into two sections. The first section was completed by the patients. Demographics, clinical data including the type of acne lesions present during active disease (photographs with examples of comedones, papules, pustules and cysts were shown to patients to help them to recognize the features) and the average number of lesions during active acne were recorded. These information were used to assess their acne severity based on the criteria defined by Lehmann *et al.*,[4] i.e. *mild*; <20 comedones or <15 inflammatory lesions or total lesion count <30, *moderate*; 20-100 comedones or 15-50 inflammatory lesions or total lesion count 30-125, *severe*, >5 cysts or total comedone count >100 or total inflammatory count >50 or total lesion count >125. In addition, information on their acne scars and family history of acne scars or keloids (photographs of various type of acne scars were shown to patients to help them recognize features) were recorded as well.

A self-acne scar severity scoring system of 0-10, with 10 being the most severe, was scored by the patients. The patient's QOL was assessed using the standard Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) questionnaires (© A Y Finlay, G K Khan April 1992 <u>www.dermatology.org.uk</u>) and the utility questions: Time willing to Trade off (TTO) and Willingness to Pay (WTP) (<u>Annex 1</u>).

The second set of the questionnaires was completed by the doctor who had assessed the patient's post-acne scars (classified as ice pick, rolling, boxcar, deep, hypertrophic or keloid) and graded into mild, moderate

and severe using the qualitative global scarring grading by Goodman et al.[5,6,7,8]

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis using absolute and percentage frequencies were performed in all data. For constant variables such as age, value (mean and median) and the scattering (SD, range) were calculated. The test of significance was two-sided and was set at 5% ($P \le 0.05$). Differential analyses were conducted using the parametric, independent two-sample t-test and non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test. The statistical assessments were performed using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 100 patients with post-acne scars were recruited between June 2011 and September 2012. There were 57 (57%) females. The mean age was 25.6 years (SD \pm 5.2 years, range 21-40 years) for females, 24.4 years (SD \pm 4.2 years, range 21-36 years) for males and 25.1 years (SD \pm 4.8 years, range 21-40 years) for all patients. The majority of the ethnic group was Chinese, followed by Malay, Indian and "Other" ethnicity. The ethnic distribution corresponded to the ethnic mix of the Singapore population. Most of the patients were students and Armed Forces servicemen (see <u>Table 1</u> for further socio-demographic data).

Females had an earlier onset of acne vulgaris, with the mean age of first acne vulgaris appearance at 16 years (SD \pm 3.8 years, range 10-35 years), whereas the mean age of first acne vulgaris appearance was 16.7 years (SD \pm 4.1 years, range 11-32 years) for men. When compared between genders, the mean duration of active acne vulgaris in females was found to be slightly longer-109.3 months (SD \pm 63.7 months, range 6-300 months) than males-78.4 months (SD \pm 47.7 months, range 0.5-204 months) (P < 0.05) [Table 2]. Most of these patients (48%, n = 48) had a delay of 1 year before receiving treatment for their acne vulgaris, and 12 patients (12%) never received any treatment. There was no significant difference between genders in terms of the delay of acne vulgaris treatment (P > 0.05). For those who received treatment for their acne vulgaris, most 87% had topical treatment, 71% had oral antibiotics and only 19% had oral isotretinoin.

As most acne vulgaris lesions occurred on the face, followed by the back and chest [Table 1], all patients specified that their post-acne scars were on their face (100%), followed by their back (21%) and chest (13%). The mean age of first post-acne scar presentation was 18.5 years (SD \pm 4.16 years, range 12-34 years) [Table 2]. The mean duration of post-acne scars before seeking treatment in females was slightly longer, 87.5 months (SD \pm 59.7 months, range 2-288 months) than males, 59.7 months (SD \pm 39.9 months, range 25-204 months) (P < 0.05) [Table 2]. Majority of post-acne scars were ice pick scars 97% followed by rolling scars 82%, boxcar scars 61% and keloidal scars 15%. Fifty-one percent of the patients had at least one family member having post-acne scars, but when compared between genders, the difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05%).

Doctors' assessment of these patients observed that 34 patients (34%) had mild, 53 patients (53%) had moderate and 13 patients (13%) had severe post-acne scars. The mean patients' self-scoring of the severity of their post-acne scars was 5.78/10 (SD \pm 1.8, range 2-9). There was no difference in the severity scores between genders. The mean DLQI for post-acne scars was 5.61 (SD \pm 4.3, range 0-17). Majority of these patients were self-conscious (36%, n = 36) and felt that their post-acne scars were affecting their social activities (24%, n = 24) [Table 3].

The median DLQI score was 5. In order to compare features of patients with much more severe DLQI scores with moderate DLQI scores, we divided these patients into two groups: Group 1 scoring 0-5 and group 2 scoring 6-30. Overall, the characteristics of the patients with higher DLQI score (group 2) were not statistically different from the lower DLQI score (group 1) in terms of age, sex, race, occupation, duration of active acne, family history of acne scar or keloid, age when they developed acne scar and the

duration of acne scar. However, the self-scoring of post-acne scars and the doctors' assessment of post-acne scars were statistically significant when compared between groups 1 and 2 [Table 4].

Most patients (26%) were willing to trade 1 year off their life to have 100% clear of post-acne scars, 26 (26%) patients were willing to trade 6 months off to have 50% clearance of post-acne scars and 20 (20%) patients were willing to trade 5 years off to never have any post-acne scars. Twenty-one (21%) patients were willing to pay Singapore Dollar (SGD) 2000 for 100% clearance of post-acne scars and 20 (20%) patients were willing to pay SGD 500 for 50% clearance of post-acne scars. Twenty-three (23%) patients were willing to pay SGD 5000 to never have any post-acne scars. There was no statistically significant difference between genders.

DISCUSSION

There was limited data in the literature on the QOL of patients with post-acne scars, making the comparison of the present data a challenge. Our study provided comprehensive data on the impact of post-acne scarring on the QOL among young adults in Singapore. Besides assessing the QOL using the self-acne scar severity scoring system and the DLQI, individual burden of post-acne scar was assessed using the utility questions (TTO and WTP). The potential risk factors for acne scarring were studied as well.

Acne vulgaris mainly affects adolescents and is seen in up to 80% of people aged between 11 and 30 years. [1,9] This was observed in our study as well, with the mean age of onset of acne vulgaris being 16.3 years. The mean duration of active acne vulgaris for all patients was 96.0 months. However, for females, it was slightly longer (109.3 months) compared with males (78.4 months) (P < 0.05) [Table 2]. In addition, we found that the mean duration of post-acne scars in females was slightly longer as well (87.5 months) when compared with males (59.7 months) (P < 0.05) [Table 2]. Although we also found that more females (n = 25) had higher DLQI scores of 6-30, this was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). These interesting findings suggested that we may need to encourage female patients to seek treatment earlier and perhaps they may need more aggressive treatment to prevent post-acne scars.

Post-acne scarring may affect up to 95% of patients with acne vulgaris and is related to severity and duration of acne vulgaris before adequate therapy. [2,8] Most of our patients (48%, n = 48) delayed their treatment for 1 year after the onset of their acne vulgaris and 12 patients (12%) never received any treatment. For those who received treatment for their acne vulgaris, most of them had received topical treatment (87%) and oral antibiotics (71%), and only 19 patients had oral isotretinoin (19%). Patients with acne vulgaris, based on their severity and response to treatment, are treated in accordance with an institutional guideline; mild acne vulgaris will be treated with topical treatment, moderate acne vulgaris will be treated with a combination of topical treatment and oral antibiotics and severe acne vulgaris will be treated with oral isotretinoin. Oral isotretinoin is also given to patients who have failed topical and oral antibiotics. Such data were collected and are presented in Table 1. Given that only 19% of patients were treated with oral isotretinoin, perhaps, this argues for more aggressive treatment to prevent acne scars. However, further prospective studies are required. Early and effective treatment of acne vulgaris according to various reports is the most appropriate way to prevent scarring and to minimize the psychological effects of acne vulgaris and its resultant scarring.[2,8] As our study patients were recruited at a tertiary referral institution, its case mix (may reflect the severity of the cases) and the nature of the study (by questionnaire survey) may lend itself to selection bias and recall bias, respectively.

In our study, the mean DLQI for post-acne scars among young adults in Singapore was 5.61. This was comparable to other debilitating skin conditions such as Behçet's syndrome (DLQI 5.7), Darier's disease (DLQI 5.89), Hailey-Hailey disease (DLQI 6.06) and rosacea (DLQI 6.1).[10] However, the DLQI score of a Thai acne study was much higher; 8.95.[11] This may be due to the fact that the Thai study was a combined assessment of acne vulgaris and acne scars rather than acne scars alone. Majority of our patients

were affected by DLQI questions two, five and nine, i.e. they were self-conscious (36%), felt that their post-acne scars was affecting their social activities (24%) and interfered with them going out or shopping (18%). The lowest mean DLQI score was on question nine, which asked about sexual difficulties (score 0.09) [Table 3]. This was probably a feature of the Asian culture, where they seem to be embarrassed when asked about personal relationships. This was a similar finding in the Thai study.[11]

When comparing the patients with moderate DLQI scores with a much more severe DLQI score (groups 1 and 2), the self-scoring of post-acne scars and the doctors' assessments of post-acne scars were statistically significant. This indicated that the patients' self-assessments and the doctors' assessments were generally concordant. Most patients with mild post-acne scars (49.1%, n = 27) had low DLQI scores. However, some patients with mild post-acne scars (13.3%, n = 6) also had a high DLQI score [Table 4]. On the contrary, six patients (10.9%) with severe post-acne scars had a low DLQI score. This discordance may represent the variation in the perception of post-acne scars on the image of the patients. This may also be accounted by the subjective inter-observer variation among the doctors assessing the acne by severity scores at different clinic visits at our institution.

Many patients (26%) were willing to trade 1 year off their life and 21 patients (21%) were willing to pay SGD 2000 to have all their post-acne scars cleared. Compared with the other studies in the West, this is higher than that of rosacea (SGD 821) but lower than that of vitiligo (SGD 3284).[12] As many of our patients (53%) were young (students and national serviceman), this suggested that the post-acne scars may influence their psychological well-being and also their willingness to pay.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study showed that post-acne scars have a significant negative effect on the QOL of young adults, thereby highlighting the need to increase public awareness through education programs and early treatment to reduce the risk of post-acne scar development. Physicians should not underestimate the QOL impairment of patients with post-acne scars. The use of these simple questionnaires may help physicians recognize the presence of psychiatric distress and facilitate further management and referral to a psychologist. At a national level, this calls for greater allocation of healthcare resources in this relatively under-studied area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge Dr. Shen Liang, Senior Biostatistician, Biostatistics Unit, NUS for the statistical analysis and support.

Footnotes

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

References

1. Kilkenny M, Merlin K, Plunkett A, Marks R. The prevalence of common skin conditions in Australian school students: 3. acne vulgaris. Br J Dermatol. 1998;139:840–5. [PubMed: 9892951]

2. Layton AM, Henderson CA, Cunliffe WJ. A clinical evaluation of acne scarring and its incidence. Clin Exp Dermatol. 1994;19:303–8. [PubMed: 7955470]

3. Tan HH, Tan AW, Barkham T, Yan XY, Zhu M. Community-based study of acne vulgaris in adolescents in Singapore. Br J Dermatol. 2007;157:547–51. [PubMed: 17655737]

4. Lehmann HP, Robinson KA, Andrews JS, Holloway V, Goodman SN. Acne therapy: A methodological review. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2002;47:231–40. [PubMed: 12140469]

5. Goodman GJ, Baron JA. Postacne scarring: A qualitative global scarring grading system. Dermatol Surg. 2006;32:1458–66. [PubMed: 17199653]

6. Goodman GJ, Baron JA. Postacne scarring — a quantitative global scarring grading system. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2006;5:48–52. [PubMed: 17173571]

7. Goodman GJ, Baron JA. The management of postacne scarring. Dermatol Surg. 2007;33:1175–88. [PubMed: 17903150]

8. Goodman G. Acne and acne scarring — The case for active and early intervention. Aust Fam Physician. 2006;35:503–4. [PubMed: 16820822]

9. Harper JC. An update on the pathogenesis and management of acne vulgaris. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2004;51(Suppl 1):S36–8. [PubMed: 15243503]

10. Basra MK, Fenech R, Gatt RM, Salek MS, Finlay AY. The dermatology life quality index 1994-2007: A comprehensive review of validation data and clinical results. Br J Dermatol. 2008;159:997–1035.[PubMed: 18795920]

11. Kulthanan K, Jiamton S, Kittisarapong R. Dermatology Life quality index in Thai patients with acne. Siriraj Med J. 2007;59:3–7.

12. Beikert FC, Langenbruch AK, Radtke MA, Augustin M. Willingness to pay and quality of life in patients with rosacea. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2013;27:734–8. [PubMed: 22583164]

Figures and Tables

Annex 1

Utility	Questions	Answer choices (please circle)
TTO = Time trade off		
TTO for 100% clearance	How much of your lifetime would you be willing to give up	A. No time
	getting your face completely (100%) clear of acne scar?	B. 1 month
		C. 6 months
		D. 1 year
TTO for 50% clearance	How much of your lifetime would you be willing to give up	E. 2 years
	having half (50%) the usual amount of acne scars on your	F. 5 years
	face?	G. 10 years
TTO for never having acne scars	How much of your lifetime would you be willing to give up to never have had acne scar your whole life and never have	H. MORE, I would give up:Years
	it again?	I. NONE of the above, I would give
		up:Years
WTP-Willing to pay		A. No money
WTP for 100% clearance with scarring	How much money would you be willing to pay TODAY to	B. S\$100
	get your face completely (100%) clear of acne scarring	C. S\$500
	forever?	D. S\$1000
WTP for 50% clearance	How much money would you be willing to pay TODAY to	E. S\$2000
	have	F. S\$5000
	half (50%) the usual amount of acne scarring on your	G. S\$10,000
	face?	H. MORE, I would pay this much S\$
WTP for never having acne	How much money would you be willing to pay TODAY to	I. None of the above. I would pay exactly
	have never had acne scars your whole life and never have it again?	this much S\$

Utility questionnaires

Table 1

Characteristics

Number (%)

EVIDIA VIEW CONTRACT

	()
Occupation	
Professional	19 (19)
Office	9 (9)
Student	22 (22)
NSF	31 (31)
Others	19 (19)
Areas of ache involvement	Control of Sectors
Cheeks Fourboard	94 (94)
Forenead	61 (61)
Jaw	49 (49)
Chin	59 (59)
Chest	22 (22)
Back	68 (68)
Type of ache lesions	226.22
Comedones	98 (98)
Papules	97 (97)
Pustules	81 (81)
Nodules	21 (21)
Cysts	6 (6)
Grade of ache during active disease	
Mild	44 (44)
Moderate	48 (48)
Severe	8 (8)
How soon before the patient received treatment for	
his/her acnes?	
0-3 months	16 (16)
5-6 months	16 (16)
0-9 months	5 (5)
	3 (3)
>1 year Never had treatment	48 (48)
What treatment did the nationt receive?	12 (12)
Topicale	07 (07)
Oral antibiotic	87 (87)
	/1 (/1)
Others	19 (19)
Never had treatment	3 (3)
Site of acrosses	12 (12)
Type of acres scars	
Type of actie scars	
Eaco	
Chest	97
Pack	0
Dalling	0
Rolling	
Face	82
Chest	0
Back	0
Boxcar	202
Chast	61
Pack	0
Datk Uupartuophia/kalaid	0
Hypertrophic/keiola	1.21
Face	5
Dest	7
BACK	3

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4645145/?report=printable[10-12-2015 10:24:18]

The Impact of Post-Acne Scars on the Quality of Life Among Young Adults in Singapore

Grade of acne scars by doctor	
Mild	34 (34)
Moderate	53 (53)
Severe	13 (13)
Scale of global severity, mean	10.67 (1-36)
Mild (0-6)	34 (34)
Moderate (7-18)	53 (53)
Severe (19-36)	13 (13)
Patient self-scoring of acne scars, mean, (0-10)	5.78 (2-9)

Demographics of the study patients and scar characteristics

Table 2

Type of scar	Face	Chest	Back
Icepick	97	0	0
Rolling	82	0	0
Boxcar	61	0	0
Hypertrophic/keloid	5	7	3

Comparing the demographics and characteristics of the genders

Table 3

Questions	Gender	Number	Mean DLQI	Range	P-value
Over the last week, how itchy, sore, painful or stinging has your skin been?	All	100	0.69	0-3	0.375
	Female	57	0.68	0-2	
	Male	43	0.70	0-3	
Over the last week, how embarrassed or self-conscious have you been because of	All	100	1.16	0-3	0.165
your skin?	Female	57	1.05	0-3	
	Male	43	1.3	0-3	
Over the last week, how much has your skin interfered with you going shopping	All	100	0.72	0-3	0.946
or looking after your home or garden?	Female	57	0.70	0-3	
	Male	43	0.74	0-3	
Over the last week, how much has your skin influenced the clothes you wear?	All	100	0.46	0-2	0.896
	Female	57	0.44	0-2	
	Male	43	0.49	0-2	
Over the last week, how much has your skin affected any social or leisure	All	100	0.80	0-2	0.470
activities?	Female	57	0.74	0-2	
	Male	43	0.88	0-2	
Over the last week, how much has your skin made it difficult for you to do any	All	100	0.42	0-3	0.715
sport?	Female	57	0.39	0-2	
	Male	43	0.47	0-3	
Over the last week, has your skin prevented you from working or studying?	All	100	0.35	0-2	0.739
	Female	57	0.39	0-2	
	Male	43	0.30	0-2	
Over the last week, how much has your skin created problems with your partner	All	100	0.40	0-2	0.704
or any of your close friends or relatives?	Female	57	0.42	0-2	
	Male	43	0.37	0-2	
Over the last week, how much has your skin caused any sexual difficulties?	All	100	0.09	0-1	0.539
and - control product by the transformer and the second statement of the second of the second control of the second s	Female	57	0.11	0-1	
	Male	43	0.07	0-1	
Over the last week, how much of a problem has the treatment for your skin, for	All	100	0.49	0-2	0.565
example by making your home messy, or by taking up time?	Female	57	0.49	0-2	
	Male	43	0.49	0-2	

DLQI score of each questions and comparing the genders

Table 4

J Outan Assingt Surg

The Impact of Post-Acne Scars on the Quality of Life Among Young Adults in Singapore

Characteristics	DLQI categories		P-value	
	0-5 N = 55	6-30 N = 45		
Sex, n (%)			0.792	
Female	32 (58.2)	25 (55.6)		
Male	23 (41.8)	20 (44,4)		
Race. n (%)			0.764	
Chinese	43 (78.2)	37 (82.2)		
Malay	8 (14.5)	5 (11.1)		
Indian	3 (5.5)	3 (6.5)		
Others	1 (1.8)	0 (0)		
Occupation $n(\%)$	1 (1.0)	0 (0)	0 721	
Office	3 (5 5)	6 (13 3)	0.721	
Professional	11 (20)	8 (17.8)		
Student	13 (23.6)	9 (20)		
NSE	19 (22.7)	12 (28 0)		
Others	10 (32.7)	0 (20)		
Age veger	10 (10.2)	9 (20)		
Age, years	04 40 (4 20)	0E 07 (E 07)		
Are first had acre waars	24.42 (4.39)	23.87 (3.27)	0 1 4 5	
Age first had ache, years	1(00 (2 45)	1/ 52/ 4 47)	0.145	
Niedn (SD)	16.09 (5.45)	16.35(4.47)	0.507	
Duration of active acrie,			0.587	
months				
Mean (SD)	93.1 (56.60)	99.6 (62.56)		
How soon before the patient			0.593	
received treatment for his/her				
acnes? n(%)				
0-3 months	6 (10.9)	10 (22.2)	0.664	
3-6 months	10 (18.2)	6 (13.3)		
6-9 months	3 (5.5)	2 (4.4)		
9-12 months	1 (1.8)	2 (4.4)		
>l year	28 (50.9)	20 (44.4)		
Never had treatment	7 (12.7)	5 (11.1)		
Family members with acne				
scars				
Yes, n (%)				
Family members with keloid	29 (52.7)	23 (51.1)	0.702	
scars				
Yes, n (%)				
Age when developed acne	4 (7.3)	6 (13.3)	0.315	
scars, years				
Mean (SD)				
Duration of acne scars,	18.18 (3.91)	18.96 (4.46)	0.365	
months	/	/		
Mean (SD)				
Self-scoring acne scar (0-10)	71,28 (57,32)	80.80 (48.98)	0.373	
Mean (SD)	. 1.10 (0/10/2)	20.00 (10170)	5.515	
Doctor assessment of acre	5.36 (1.56)	6.29 (1.866)	0 009	
scars	0.00 (1.00)	5127 (11000)	0.007	
Grade of acres scars by doctor				
n (%)				
Mild	27 (40 1)	6 (12 2)	0.007	
Medewate	27 (49.1)	(6.61) 0	0.001	
Souce	22 (40)	22 (/1.1) 7 /15 /)		
Mild Moderate Severe	27 (49.1) 22 (40) 6 (10.9)	6 (13.3) 32 (71.1) 7 (15.6)	0.00	

Characteristics of patients who had moderate or severe acne by DLQI category

<u>E</u>___

Cutan Aesti

Articles from Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery are provided here courtesy of Medknow Publications